

REMARKS

Claims 10 and 20 have been amended to include the subject matter of dependent claims indicated to be allowable. Please note that claim 10 has been amended to include the subject matter of claim 17, but not intervening dependent claim 16 which calls for the contact to be U-shaped. The same change has been made with respect to claim 20.

In view of the positions taken in the office action, it is now believed that the U-shaped contact was responsible for the indication of allowability of claims 17 and 25 and, therefore, the claims as amended should be in condition for allowance.

Claim 1 has been amended to call for supporting a sensor in a raised position over a substrate on an upwardly extending contact. For the same reasons that amended claims 10 and 20 should now be in condition for allowance, the amended method claim 1 should also be allowable.

Respectfully submitted,



Timothy N. Trop, Reg. No. 28,994
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.
8554 Katy Freeway, Ste. 100
Houston, TX 77024
713/468-8880 [Phone]
713/468-8883 [Fax]

Date: January 12, 2006

Attorneys for Intel Corporation